Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,784	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Covington	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Raymond CovingtonUSPTO.	(3)	
(2) Michael Dzwonczyk (app rep).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 June 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to reword claim 15 as set forth in the Examiners amendment. Claims 1,7,9,11-15 are allowed.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Raymond Covington/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)